



PUBLISHER

IFSMRC INTERNATIONAL PUBLICATIONS (PVT)

ETHIOPIA, INDIA

www.ifsmrc.org

Copy Rights to Author

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

2013-2014

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

PROF. DR. CHINNIAH ANBALAGAN

Professor of Accounting and Finance, School of Management & Accounting,
College of Business & Economics, Haawssa University,
Hawassa City, Ethiopia, East Africa, Mobile No: +251-932319331,
E-mail: submissionifsmrc@gmail.com. Website: www.ifsmrc.org

**The Role of Government in Promoting Export: Comparative case
study of Ethiopia, South Korea and Vietnam**



EDITORS

EDITOR (Marketing)

Dr. Brehane Borji

Associate Professor of Marketing
School of Management & Accounting
College of Business and Economics
Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia, East Africa

EDITOR (General Management)

Dr. N. R. V. Prabhu

Director, Sunshine Group of Institutions
Rajkot, India

EDITOR (Accounting & Finance)

Dr. Getenet (Accounting & Finance)

Assistant Professor in Accounting and Finance
School of Management & Accounting
College of Business and Economics
Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia, East Africa

Research Associates

Mr. S. Pradeep, M.Sc

Assistant Professor of CS & IT
NM Engineering College, Pudukkottai
Tamil Nadu, India

Mr. Mangesha Ayane , M.Sc

Auditor
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, East Africa

The Role of Government in Promoting Export: Comparative case study of Ethiopia, South Korea and Vietnam

**The Role of Government in Promoting Export: Comparative case
study of Ethiopia, South Korea and Vietnam**

수출 촉진을 위한 정부의 역할:

에티오피아, 한국, 베트남의 비교 사례 연구

2011, February

Azmera Andemo

**The Role of Government in Promoting Export: Comparative case
study of Ethiopia, South Korea and Vietnam**

The Role of Government in Promoting Export: Comparative case study of Ethiopia, South Korea and Vietnam

A thesis presented

By
Azmera Andemo Kello

To

The Graduate Program in International Development Policy in partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree of Master in the subject of International Development policy

Graduate School of International Studies

Seoul National University

Seoul, South Korea

February, 2011

The Role of Government in Promoting Export: Comparative case study of Ethiopia, South Korea and Vietnam

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I must say that I am most grateful to my advisor, professor Bark Taeho whose invaluable advice and guidance enabled me to successfully complete this master thesis.

I also would like to thank my deepest gratitude to the Korea international cooperation Agency which assisted me to join Seoul National University by giving scholarship fund.

I would like to express my thanks and appreciation to everyone in GSIS staff who supported me in writing this thesis and all the course work more over I have no reservation to give special thanks to Professor Kim Chong Sup who endeavor by teaching research methodology and other class to upgrade my know how for my future academic career.

I cannot forget the love, encouragement and support received from my friend Ephrem Hassen Gossoma and IDP administrative staff. Above all, I am thankful to God almighty for his grace and opening my understanding to do a lot.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	II
ABSTRACT	IV
LIST OF FIGURES & TABLES	V
ACRONYM.....	VII
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 purposes of research and question.....	2
1.3 Objectives of the research.....	4
1.4 Methodology	4
1.5 Organization of the research	4
2 Background	6
2.1 Export performance and promotion policies in Ethiopia	6
2.2 Export performance and promotion measures of south korea	9
2.3 Export performances and trade reform in Vietnam	13
3 LITERATURE REVIEW	18
3.1 The role of Government Policy in promoting export.....	18
3.2 Export incentives as export promotion instruments.....	18

3.3	Export diversification and export growth.....	21
3.4	FDI and Export growth.....	22
3.5	Domestic infrastructure	24
4	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	25
4.1	Introduction	25
4.2	Export diversification	25
4.3	FDI and export growth.....	33
4.4	Participation of private sector and export growth.....	37
4.5	Domestic infrastructure and export growth	41
4.6	Export promotion tools and export growth in Ethiopia	44
5	CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATION.....	48
	REFERENCES	51

ABSTRACT

Ethiopia mostly exports agricultural products such as coffee, Gold, leather products, and oilseeds and imports higher valued capital goods .The country runs a severe balance of trade deficit, because the return from exports is far less than the expense needed for the imports. This export and import unbalance leads the nation to the instable export and weak macroeconomic management due the depletion of foreign currency, eventually the nation is forced to look for additional finance to cost of its imports. Lack of own financial source has led the country to the development of a significantly sized external debt.. this paper tried to answer the main problems that why Ethiopia's export is too weak in the past decades, to see how korea and Vietnam has become successful in boosting export, how is the link between private sector and government regarding financial credit, how much FDI is important in export growth as well as what can be done to improve the nation's export performance. In this paper Herfindahl index, trend analysis and SWOT analysis models has been used for three country case.

After analyzing this paper there is finding that revealed the Ethiopian economy remains highly dependent upon coffee production; during 1995 to 2010, coffee accounted for an average of about 44 percent of the country's total value of exports, both FDI inflow and the role of private sector in the export sector economy is too low compare to South Korea and Vietnam. To solve those problems found in this paper , Ethiopia should promote export through encouraging FDI, by setting multi incentive and introducing EPZ, badly encouraging domestic private sector by supporting through financial instruments.

Key words: Export concentration, Role of private sector, Export promotion, market seeking FDI and Economic growth.

LIST OF FIGURES & TABLES

List of figures

Figure 1: RESERCH FLOW.....	5
Figure2:Export by sector %(1990-2000/2001).	8
Figure 3: Vietnam’s export by sector.....	15
Figure 4: Average export share of GDP (%).	16
Figure 5: Merchandize Export of Ethiopia vs. RoK and Vietnam.....	17
Figure: 6 HI indices of total export from 1962-2009.....	27
Figure 7: Share of dominant export and its HI in Vietnam & Ethiopia.....	29
Figure 8: Share of dominant export items and total Hi indices of Vietnam &Ethiopia.....	29
Figure: 9 FDI inflows since 1970-2009.....	33
Figure 10: Vietnamese export from 1995-2008 by ownership.	34
Figure: 11:- 5 year average saving %of GDP.	37
Figure 12: Trends of 5year average savings rates %of GDP in three country case.	38
Figure: 13:- 5 year average credit provided to the private sector %of GDP.....	38
Figure: 14:-Trends of 5 year average credits provided to the private sector.	39
Figure 15: Roads, paved (% of total roads).	41
Figure 16: Air transport, freight (million ton-km).....	42
Figure 17: Electricity production (kWh).....	43
Figure 18: Telephone lines (per 100 people).	43
Figure 19: SWOT matrix for Ethiopia current status and Government policy.....	44

List of Tables

Table 1: Export performance share of GDP in % (1960-2009). 7

Figure 1: Export by sector % (1990-2000/2001). 8

Table 2: Export share % of GDP (1962-2009). 10

Table 3: Export by commodity group. 11

Table 4: Export share of GDP and annual growth of export Vietnam..... 14

Table 5: Comparative HI indices progress..... 27

Table 6: Ethiopia’s top export basket in % during 1995 to 2010. 30

Table 7: Vietnamese top export basket in (%) during 1997 -2009. 30

Table 8: Korea’s export basket in %during1962-2000 5 years intervals..... 30

ACRONYM

ADB:	Asian Development Bank
AGOA:	African Growth Opportunity Act
COMTRADE:	Commodity Trade data base
EBA:	Every things But Arms
ECSA:	Ethiopia Central Statistics Authority
EIA:	Ethiopia Investment Agency
EAL:	Ethiopian Air Lines
EEPCO:	Ethiopian Electric Power Corporation
EO:	Export Oriented strategy
EP:	Export Promotion
EPRDF:	Ethiopian people's Revolutionary Democratic Front
ETB:	Ethiopian Birr (1 birr=100cent)
ETC:	Ethiopia Telecommunication Corporation
FDI:	Foreign Direct Investment
GDP:	Gross Domestic Production
GOE:	Government of Ethiopia
HHR:	Hausmann, Hwang & Rodrik
HI:	Herfindahl Index
IS:	Import Substitution
LDC:	Least Developed Countries
MOE:	Ethiopia Ministry of Education
MOFD:	Ethiopia ministry of Finance and Economic Development
MNE:	Multinational Enterprise
MOTI:	Ethiopia ministry of Trade and Industry
NBE:	National Bank of Ethiopia

OECD:	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
ROK:	Republic of Korea
SITC:	Standard International Trade Classification
SWOT:	Strength, Weakness, Opportunity Threat Matrix
UN:	United Nation
UNCTAD:	United Nation Conference on Trade and Development
VGSO:	Vietnam Government General Statistics Office
WB:	World Bank
WTO:	World Trade Organization

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The Ethiopian economy remains highly dependent upon agriculture mainly coffee production with 25 percent of the population deriving its livelihood from this agricultural product. Coffee accounted for an average of about 44 percent of the country's total value of exports during 1995 to 2010. Gold, leather products, and oilseeds constitute some of the country's other important exports.

The current government has embarked on a cautious program of economic reform including privatization of state enterprises and rationalization of government regulation. While the process is still going on, so far the reforms have attracted only meager foreign investment.

Ethiopia's export is tiny fraction of the GDP due to dominancy of less diversified and less productive agricultural products. Also the yield of agriculture is highly plagued by various factors which include periodic drought, underdeveloped water resources and poor, infrastructure & expensive transport for supply of goods to market. Eventhough Ethiopian Agricultural sector is not modernized, yet it is the source of country's most promising resources. More over potential exists for self-sufficiency in food security and for export development in livestock, cut flowers, grains, oil seeds, sugar, vegetables and fruits and large mineral resources which are hardly exploited yet.

Being dependent on a few and vulnerable agricultural products for its foreign exchange earnings and spending much on oil import, Ethiopia is suffering a severe lack of foreign exchange while simultaneously battling high inflation. The economy is highly subsistent and therefore struggles to meet the budget requirements for drought relief in addition to realizing growth.

In general, Ethiopia has many problems which hurt its export expansion and economic growth. The most important problems in the country related to this research are discussed below.

Low levels of productivity and living which resulted in low income lead to low investment in education and health as well as plant and equipment manufacture and in overall infrastructure development. This in turn led to low productivity and economic stagnation. Problems intertwined one with another could be listed as follows. These include dependence of export on primary and traditional sector products and their low volume of supply, land lockedness of the country; under developed financial and other markets, low levels of private sector's role in sectors with exportable and value added products in the economy, low saving or capital formation share of GDP, low level of financial service facility for the private sector, low administrative and financial support, low inflow of FDI in the country and a few engaged in service and non-exportable industry.

All these lists of issues are supposed to be a good research problem; however this paper does not address the entire set of problem listed here due to time and resource limitation. However, the overarching of the problems mentioned above are investigated and discussed in details using different models.

1.2 purposes of research and question

Since 1992 the government of Ethiopia has introduced a variety of reforms aimed at improving macroeconomic stability, accelerating economic growth, and reducing poverty. Tariffs have been cut, quota constraints relaxed, licensing procedures simplified, foreign exchange controls eased, compulsory grain delivery and forced membership to cooperatives discontinued. Privatizations have been introduced where private banks

officially authorized, interest rate control deactivated, and an inter-bank money and foreign exchange market introduced.¹

The nation has also introduced foreign direct investment policy in some sectors though still there are some restricted sectors. The current regime is working towards changing economy from its command nature to market based. Despite these facts mentioned, still Ethiopia's export sector is too weak eventhough there is annual increase in absolute terms in the past 5 years. Potentially it would have been by far better than its current position. Ethiopia's total exports were higher than that of Vietnam in the 1981 but now have become just a tiny fraction: \$2 billion in Ethiopia versus \$65 billion in Vietnam in 2010.²

In general, in dealing with Ethiopia's export the following questions are addressed and attempted to be answered in this paper.

- What are the main factors that hamper the Ethiopia's export?
- How Korea and Vietnam has been successful in international trade specifically in export while Ethiopia is still lagging behind?
- What can be done to improve export sector and export expansion in general
- Could export promotion via encouraging FDI and increasing role of private sector yield positive result in Ethiopia's export? While attempting to answer these questions, lessons from Korea and Vietnam will be drawn.

For detail Please see

¹ Alemayehu Seyoum and Tadele Ferede (2004). The Structure of the Ethiopian Economy

- A SAM-based Characterization. Paper prepared as a background paper for the Ethiopia Country Economic Memorandum: World Bank, "Ethiopia-A Strategy to Balance and Stimulate Growth".

² Ethiopia Export performance review , Ethiopia Revenue and Custom authority,(2010)

1.3 Objectives of the research

The main objectives of the research is to determine the main issues that are hampering Ethiopia's export sector, in both policy aspects and productivity issues determined by volume effects

To analyze the main reason for Ethiopia to lag in export performance compared to countries with almost poor condition in the past, i.e. Ethiopia was much better exporters of merchandizing products than South Korea in 1960 and also larger exporter than Vietnam in 1981.

To compare the relevant policy issues which have been used by Vietnam and Korea in respective of Ethiopia, and finally to forward policy issues and strategies that will improve the country's export based on lessons of the comparison.

1.4 Methodology


In this research various methods are used to analyses the export determinants of three country cases basically through comparative analysis using Herfindahl index, trend analysis and SWOT analysis. In addition to these tools the paper includes reviews about the role of government in promoting export in three country cases. Due to the different government and country situation different implementation mechanisms has been used but policies are somehow similar. Finally data for this paper has been entirely taken from international data base like IMF, WB development indicator, government websites related to the export and investment, UNCOMTRAD, UNICTAD, and various publications.

1.5 Organization of the research

This paper is organized as follows ; chapter two addressed , back ground of export performance in three country (Ethiopia, south korea and Vietnam), chapter three addressed about literature review of export promotion, export determinants, the role of

government in promoting export , export diversification, domestic infrastructure, FDI promotion and export financing; chapter four addressed comparative analysis and discussion of results, chapter five deals with conclusion and policy implication for the country's export growth and how to address export promotion.

Figure 1: RESERCH FLOW



2 Background

2.1 Export performance and promotion policies in Ethiopia

Like other African countries, Ethiopia has faced deep rooted structural problems, weak policy frameworks and institutions, protection at domestic level and abroad for a long time. For instance, in 1983 the Provisional Government of Socialist Ethiopia noted that the basic constraints for Ethiopian exports include the low volume of exportable products, the limited degree of diversification of exports mainly due to unprocessed primary products, frequent economic crisis which substantially reduce the demand for and prices of primary products, artificial trade barriers by trading partners etc. (cf. Abay and Zewdu 1999). Moreover, after the downfall of the Derg regime, the Transitional Government of Ethiopia stated that “it is essential to increase and diversify exports” (1991: 33, as cited in Abay and Zewdu 1999).

Owing to this policy shift some improvements in export performance have been registered. Trade statistics show that export earnings have increased during the post reform period. According to the Ministry of Trade and Industry (MOTI), the real value of export earnings increased from ETB 5 billion during the first six year period of the Derg regime (1973-1978) to ETB 39.7 billion in the last six years of the EPRDF regime (2000/1-2006/7) (Abay and Zewdu, 1999).

Regarding the composition of exports, until the 1990s the Ethiopian export sector could be characterized as a ‘three-commodity sector’ consisting of coffee, hides and skins, and oilseeds and pulses. Between 1966 and 1996, on average 59% of the country’s export earnings came solely from coffee (Abay and Zewdu, 1999). According to MOTI data, although coffee is still the dominant export item, since 2001/02 its contribution to total export earnings has declined to 36.3% in 2007 and it became 30 % by 2010. On the other hand, the share of non-coffee agricultural exports and major manufacturing export commodities (leather and leather products; textile; and agro processing products) has increased remarkably.

However, Ethiopia's share in total world exports is still very low, amounting to 0.01% in 2006 (WTO, 2007). In this regard, Alemayehu (1999) and Abay and Zewdu (1999) argue that Ethiopia's external trade has major problems both on the supply side – due to its dependency on few primary products characterized by large fluctuations in volume; and a very high degree of concentration of exports on few commodities. On the demand side – a low income elasticity for the type of commodities that Ethiopia exports, declining prices for its exports, and limited destinations for Ethiopian exports. Both supply and demand side problems are typical African problems: For example, more than 50% of African countries' export earnings are derived from only three principal commodities such as coffee, tropical beverages and cocoa (Alemayehu, 2006).


Table 1: Export performance share of GDP in % (1960-2009).

Period	Export share to the GDP in %	Export growth
1960-1973	10.9	8.2
1974-1990	10	4.7
1991-2000	12.8	22.5
2001-2009	13	9.8
1960-2009	11.7	11.3

Sources: During (1960-1990) is from MoFD.

(1991-2009) is from IMF.

Figure2:Export by sector %(1990-2000/2001).



Source: Ethiopia Ministry of Finance and economic Development.

Trade policy reforms and export promotion measures in Ethiopia

In 1991 the transitional government of Ethiopia (TGE) together with the IMF and the World Bank has undertaken liberalization and structural adjustment program to address the internal and external imbalances of the economy. In particular trade policy reform was undertaken which aimed at promoting exports through diversifying the country's commodity exports.

According to Joosten (2007) and Weissleder (2009), some of the major implemented export promotion policies and regulations in favor of FDI are the following: Devaluation of the Ethiopian currency by more than 140 percent in terms of US dollar to make exports competitive and promote export trade. The tariff regime was continuously revised and was reduced from a maximum of 230 percent to currently 35 percent, the import and export licensing system were simplified, a duty draw back scheme was introduced where by exporters are re-funded the tax and duty they paid on the inputs and raw materials used in export production, State exporting enterprises were provided a

managerial autonomy but deprived of a monopoly power, the Ethiopian Export Promotion Agency is established, and various foreign direct investment policies were introduced

2.2 Export performance and promotion measures of south korea

South Korea has shown rapid economic growth since the 1960s. The government has provided various incentives to promote exports, expecting export-led economic growth. Export values increased from US\$87 million in 1963 to US\$17.5 billion in 1980, and then to US\$363.5 billion in 2009.³

Export expansion has been believed to be possible by aggressive export promotion (EP) policies, in particular in the early stage of economic development. The Korean government provided tax and financial incentives in addition to incentives such as establishment of organizations to promote exports. Thus, the experience of economic growth of Korea has been regarded as an example of pursuing the export-led economic growth strategy

By the early 1960s, the Korean government had pursued import substitution policy. In 1964, the government announced pursuing export promotion policies with the slogan “Export Number One”, i.e. export promotion is the most important policy. The government began to increase the amount of export subsidy, placing emphasis on exports of the products of the labor intensive Light Industries (LI), in particular textile and garment industry where the Korean economy had a comparative advantage. The government introduced 50 percent reduction of profit tax relating to exports and export finance schemes at low interest rate in 1964. Exchange rate devaluation introduced continually. Under the export-import link system, the government granted the exporters the right to use foreign exchange necessary for imports, which was intended to promote

³ Jai S. Mah , (2010) “Export Promotion Policies, Export Composition and Economic Development of Korea”

exports under the situation of extreme foreign exchange shortage. The government developed land sites for industrial complexes and provided them cheaply to the firms entering those businesses. Together with various tax and financial measures to promote exports, the government established the institutions to support EP.

During the 1970s, the main drive of the industrial policy of Korea shifted from the LI to developing the high value-added. The government chose iron and steel, non-ferrous metal, shipbuilding, electronics and chemical industries as the most important HCI. The share of the HCI in all industries increased from 23 percent in 1960 to 39 percent in 1970, and then to 54 percent in 1980.⁴

Table 2: Export share % of GDP (1962-2009).

year	Export share of GDP
1962-1966	7.7
1967-1971	13.7
1972-1976	27.8
1977-1981	31.5
1981-1986	34.4
1987-1991	32.1
1992-1996	28.7
1997-2002	42.8
2002-2006	31.2
2007-2009	42.2

Source: (cf Jai S Mah, 2010).

See details on

⁴ Kim, Jeongryum, *From a Least Developed Country to a Developed Country, 2006* (in Korean), pp. 115-116.

Table 3: Export by commodity group.

Export item	1965	1975	1985	1994
Food	17.5	14.1	4.4	2.8
Textile, clothing and foot wear	30.9	43.9	32.1	22.7
Wood & paper product	11.1	5.6	0.7	1.1
Non electrical machinery	1.5	0.7	2.0	5.7
Electrical machinery	0.3	6.4	7.2	20.8
Chemicals & pharmaceuticals product	0.2	1.6	3.6	7.1
Computer & office equipment	0	1.0	2.1	4.0
communication equipment	0.9	3.0	5.7	6.7

Source: (cf J.Weiss, 2005).

Export promotion instruments in South Korea

The EP measures of Korea have comprised tax incentives, financial incentives, establishment of free trade zones and the supporting organizations. The government provided huge amount of subsidy to promote export-related industries. The export subsidy ratio of Korea during the aggressive EP period, i.e. the mid-1960s to the early 1980s. Among export measures the followings are strongly used in the Korea's development period. **Tax incentives:** In December 1961, the Tax Exemption and Reduction Control Law began to provide export firms with tax deduction measures, again

the Tax Exemption and Reduction Control Law amended in 1975 granted investment tax credits and accelerated depreciation to designated key industries⁵. **Policy loan:** The government control of interest rates provided the strategic industries preferential access at subsidized interest rates. As a result of the HCI drive in the 1970s, the HCI sector not only had better access to capital, but also faced significantly lower average borrowing costs. The export industries enjoyed preferential access to capital.⁶ **Export financing:** Export finances have been provided to exporters in various stages of export-related activities since 1961. The export finance system is one of the currently used export promotion measure in Korea. The Korea EXIM Bank has lent to the export firms.⁷ Export finance covers mainly capital goods, such as industrial plant, machinery, and ships. As of 2009, lending of up to 100 percent of contract value is available provided that the minimum foreign exchange earnings ratio is not less than 25 percent. **Export insurance:** The export insurance scheme (Fund) was introduced into Korea in 1969 under the Export Insurance Act to help exporters increase their exports by protecting them against losses.⁸ **Free trade zone:** FTZs are exclusive areas outside the national customs boundary, exempt from customs requirements, upon request from regional governments. Activities in the FTZs are subject to streamlined import procedures and exemption from import tariffs, and receive tax relief, e.g. value-added tax and reduced corporate tax. Foreign cargo may enter and leave freely from the FTZs. Since Korean goods entering the FTZs

Please see for details on

⁵Lim, Joo-Young, "Tax Support System", in Choi, Kwang and Jin-Kwon Hyun, eds., *The Fifty Years History of Tax Policy in Korea*, Korea Institute for Public Finance: Seoul, 1997 (in Korean), Vol. 1 and Bae, Jin-Young, "Incentive Structure and Its Changes in the Korean Industrial Policy Regimes from 1962-1997", *Journal of the Korean Economy*, Fall 2001, Vol. 2, No. 2.

⁶Bae, Jin-Young, "Incentive Structure and Its Changes in the Korean Industrial Policy Regimes from 1962-1997".

⁷Korea Export-Import Bank, <http://www.koreaeximbank.go.kr>, 2004

⁸Mah, Jai S. and Yunah Song, "The Export Insurance System of Korea: Its Implications on the Trade Regulations in the Global Trading System".

are treated as exports, they are entitled to duty drawback⁹. **Export promotion agency:** In Korea, Korea International Trade Association (KITA) and Korea Trade Promotion Corporation (KOTRA) have worked as the institutions helping firms overcoming the export barriers such as the motivational, informational, and operational/resource barriers.¹⁰

2.3 Export performances and trade reform in Vietnam

Doi Moi innovation (1986-1996)

Doi Moi was the beginning of great changes in the Vietnamese economy, during a time when the country still was a part of the communist world. It included institutional and structural changes and a development towards market economy. In the long run, the reforms had mainly two broad economic effects; Vietnam became outwardly oriented instead of focusing on import substitution and changed from a centrally planned system into a market economy. The reform meant that state-owned enterprises could make own price decisions instead of using prices fixed by the state. Furthermore, companies became free to decide over inputs used. These two reforms were combined with a hardening of the soft budget constraints and an increasing pressure for efficient use of the available resources. As a consequence, the general level of competition in the economy increased. Naturally, so did efficiency. Moreover, the view on private enterprises started to alter in a liberal direction. Profits generated were no longer confiscated and the owners got power to decide over fundamentals such as labor and salary (Hakkala & Nilsson, 1997:20-22).

Doi Moi also had great impact on trade policy. Before 1989 the system of trading rights were characterized by state monopoly (Thanh 2005:77). Now the state started to

Please see for details

⁹ Jai S. Mah , (2010) “Export Promotion Policies, Export Composition and Economic Development of Korea”

¹⁰ KOTRA, “About KOTRA - History” (available at <http://english.kotra.or.kr>, accessed April 20, 2009).

abandon the monopoly of trade and change import substitution towards export oriented policies. The policy of export subsidies was launched. Export quotas were replaced by tariffs and the number of goods concerned was decreased. Export zones were introduced. Between 1989 and 1991, Exchange rate reforms were carried through. Among other things this meant that one single exchange rate replaced many different rates, which made trade costs easier to calculate (Hakkala & Nilsson, 1997:28-29). These changes combined made it easier for companies to export.

10 years after it was launched, Vietnam experienced extraordinary GDP growth rates, on average almost 10 percent per year. Despite this, inflation had been successfully reduced. Further effects of the reforms were large increases of exports and foreign direct investments (FDI), and sharp reduction of poverty (Thanh 2005:75). Nevertheless, liberalization and export-promoting reforms continued, in 1998, the system of foreign trade based on licenses was abandoned. Since then, trade with foreign countries has in general become easier which has resulted in a large increase in the number of companies engaged in this activity. Recent reforms include export credits and zero export duty.

Table 4: Export share of GDP and annual growth of export Vietnam.

	Export share of GDP	Annual export growth
1986-1990	34.4	18.8
1991-1995	49.6	19.2
1996-2000	65	12.8
2001-2005	71.6	13.958
2006-2009	74.25	0.95


Notes: Export share of GDP data from World Bank development indicator.

Annual export growth data from IMF.

FDI and export expansion in Vietnam

A stabilized economy, abundant cheap labour and rich natural resources, together with an improved legal environment, have transformed Vietnam into a popular destination for multinational enterprises (MNEs) in Indochina. Foreign invested enterprises (FIEs) have made a significant contribution to export expansion in Vietnam from the early 1990s. Their share in total merchandise exports (non-oil) from the country increased persistently from 4% in 1991 to 8.8% in 1995 and 33.8% in 2000. By 2000, over a half of non-oil manufacturing exports of Vietnam originated in FIEs, up from an average share of 19% during 1991-95. During the early years of market-oriented reforms in Vietnam, much of FDI investment in manufacturing was in production for the domestic market. During 1988- 90, less than 20% of total approved projects had export-output ratios of over 50%. From 1997, there has been a clear upward trend in the share of export oriented projects in annual FDI approvals. By 2000, over 70% of approved FIEs had Export-output ratios of 50% or more (Thanh, 2005).

Figure 3: Vietnam’s export by sector.




Source: General statistics office of Vietnam.

In the changing world environment there are no standard and unique instruments that could lead to increase export, however every country can use different strategies and tools that can help to boost its export sector.


As described above each of the three countries have used different export promotion instruments, for instance Korea has used aggressive export promotion by applying export financing, and administrative support establishing, whereas Vietnam has applied by starting economic reform and abandoning state monopoly in the trade sector, through time introducing FTZ, as well as promoting FDI that did not appear in Korea during 1960s. Ethiopia has also tried to use export promotion in 2000s and trade reform in the year 1990s after collapse of military regime, more over currently the nation is applying aggressive promotion on FDI, never the less the export performance of Ethiopia is not much satisfactory in comparisons with Korea and Vietnam. Please see the following figure showing the share of export in the entire GDP.

Figure 4: Average export share of GDP (%).



Source: World Bank Development Indicator.

Figure 5: Merchandize Export of Ethiopia vs. RoK and Vietnam.



Source: world Bank development indicator.

The major reason for this difference in performance is the dramatic shifts of Korea export policy from inward to export oriented industrialization. This helped the country to boost its export since 1962, while in those times Ethiopia encountered different situation. First, the imperial regime used to rule with inward policy and there were internal civil war as well as external war with somalia in 1970s which extended upto the time of the military regime. Partly with similar to Ethiopian case vietnam was also communist block allie focusing on socialist ideology and the divided country until 1976. However, vietnam had dramatically boosts its export since 1980s due to Doi Moi innovation

3 LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1 The role of Government Policy in promoting export

International trade has played a crucial role in the historical development of the third world. In the second half of the 20th century, the tremendous economic performance of the "four tigers"- South Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Singapore has been largely attributed to the performance of the external sector where the export sector was given a greater emphasis. Strong political commitment towards export promotion and the application of appropriate policies together with efficient institutional mechanisms helped these countries to attain a higher growth rate of exports and hence of the overall economy.

Over the past two decades, developing countries have progressively increased their share in global trade from just less than one quarter to about one third. Asia and particularly China account for most of the change, which has been facilitated by diversification of exports. While developing Asia's share in total world exports increased from 11.7% in 1985 to 21.5% in 2005, Africa's share decreased from 4.3% to 2.9% over the same period (Bacchetta, 2007). Deep rooted structural problems, weak policy frameworks and institutions, protection at home and abroad (IMF and World Bank, 2001), and the structure of African exports, which is characterized by dependence on primary commodities (Alemayehu, 2006; Biggs, 2007; UNCTAD, 2008) are considered as the reasons for Africa's poor export performance.

3.2 Export incentives as export promotion instruments

Returns from trade sector depend up on accelerating growth of exports. According to the orthodox classical economists as well as the modern liberal economists the trade is equivalent to engine of economic growth. Export promotion strategy is often in accordance with the principles of comparative advantage, when a country specializes in a product, which it can produce competitively. The goods become available to the

community of the world at cheaper prices. In outer layer, putting more emphasis on the promotion of exports would permit the optimal allocation of country's resources.

Some more clarification regarding the EP strategy is as follows. Firstly, the definition of EP strategy relates to average incentives (Krueger (1997a)). The EP strategy can coexist with IS strategies in some sectors as has been the case in many successful East Asian countries. Secondly, the EP strategy does not imply the absence of government intervention. Thirdly, the EP strategy relates, to trade incentives, therefore, the countries that follow this strategy are not necessarily out ward oriented in their foreign investment policy. Trade orientation is also defined in terms of effective exchange rate for exports, relative to that of effective exchange rates for imports. These effective exchange rates measure the incentives to exports and to substitute for exports respectively. The IS strategy is the one where effective exchange rate for export is less than effective exchange rate for imports and so called biased towards import.¹¹

An out ward oriented trade strategy is recognized to have a number of advantages. Firstly ,this Strategy brings incentives for domestic resource allocation closer to international opportunity costs and hence, promote the efficient use of resources. Secondly , EO is better policy because it involves incentives rather than controls. The rationing of import license , credit , and foreign exchanges has invariably generated premiums and , inturn rent seeking under the IS strategy.

Please see for detail

¹¹ Bhagwati, J, (1978), Anatomy and consequence of exchange rate control regimes. Cambridge, mass, Ballinger publishing company for national bureau of economic research

Krueger, Anne O. (1978) Foreign Trade Regime and Economic Development: Liberalization attempts and consequences,

Thirdly, it gives industries the opportunity to enlarge their markets and achieve greater economies of scale.¹² Fourthly an out ward oriented strategy forces industries to compete in the international market and achieve greater export efficiency(Balassa(1981)

Fifthly , there is increasing evidence that adoption of new technology has been faster in out ward oriented than inward oriented trade in developing countries. Exporting firms often benefits from a considerable transfer of technology from abroad , including advice on production engineering and aid in product design and marketing.¹³ Sixth, out ward oriented regime provides self correcting mechanisms to allign the macroeconomic variables that affect growth. Finally , it is well known that, out ward oriented economies have achieved spectacular growth in saving rate.¹⁴ A shift from in ward to out ward orientation should generate additional real income , partly by reducing the misallocation of resources and partly by raising income through multiplier effects as rising exports bring spare capacity in to use.

The export incentives include export credits, tax rebate scheme, premium from the “support & Price stabilization fund”, duty free imports of intermediates and raw materials, and exemption from the value added tax, foreign exchange allocations, exemption from the corporate income tax and other subsidies and improving domestic policy for foreign firm attraction to the economy.¹⁵

All the above mentioned success story of Asian country and over all theory and rational behind the government’s export promotion intervention, export growth, and

¹² Krueger, Anne O. (1981) Export Led industrial growth –Reconsidered. In W. Hong and L.B. Krause (ed) Trade and Growth of the Advanced Developing countries in the pacific Basin. Seoul: Korea Development Institute.

¹³ ADB (1997) Emerging Asia: changes and challenges. Manila: Asian development bank.

¹⁴ ADB (1997) Emerging Asia: changes and challenges. Manila: Asian Development Bank.

¹⁵ Togan, S. (1993). “How to Assess the Significance of Export Incentives”: An Application to Turkey”.

overall economic growth are assessed in extensive and logical flow. Based on the reviews made in this section, this paper discussed the models selected for the analysis part of the research in the following sections.

3.3 Export diversification and export growth

The degree of export diversification can be considered as a function of both the number of commodities in a country's export mix, and distribution of their individual shares. When using the measures of export earning focusing on a wider variety of exports will lead to increased stability or growth in export earnings.

Consensus now seems have been built around the belief that export diversification leads to economic growth. In a seminal paper, Imbs and Wacziarg (2003) showed that the relationship between export diversification and economic development (measured by per capita GDP) is broadly positive for countries with per capita incomes of \$10,000 (2000 US dollars). Countries with incomes higher than \$10,000 tend to specialize in goods. This is evident from the export structures in upper middle income countries such as Korea, Hong Kong and the OECD countries.

In a significant departure from the conventional view of export diversification and economic growth, Hausmann and Rodrik (2003), and Hausmann, Hwang and Rodrik (2006) have contended that, in addition to physical and human capital, natural resources and institutional quality, market failures also play an important role in affecting export diversification. Asserting that "what you export matters," HHR have demonstrated how the income level of a country's exports is a good predictor of economic growth. They conclude that in the presence of market failures, there is a role for governments to spur export diversification.

3.4 FDI and Export growth

Insufficient domestic capital investment and outdated technologies greatly impede the economic growth of developing countries. FDI is often emphasized as a shortcut to solve the problems in development policies.

FDI is another important factor affecting the export supply capacity of a country. There is consensus among development economists that FDI inflows are likely to play an important role in explaining growth of recipient countries (De Mello, 1997, 1999; Buckley et al., 2002; Akinlo, 2004; Seetanah and Khadaroo, 2007). By increasing capital stock, FDI can contribute to a more efficient use of existing resources and absorb unemployed resources and thus increase a country's output and productivity (De Gregorio, 1992; Seetanah and Khadaroo, 2007).

The new trade theory emerging in the early 1980s basically classifies FDI into horizontal and vertical ones. In the case of vertical FDI, MNEs decompose the production process into stages according to factor intensity and locate production activities in different areas so as to exploit differences in factor cost, therefore minimizing production costs. Through production fragmentations, MNEs vertically integrate product designs, production and marketing across different countries. Disintegration of production leads to more trade as intermediate inputs cross borders several times during the manufacturing process. Rising outsourcing activities by MNEs are a direct consequence of production fragmentations, which allow firms to optimally allocate their manufacturing processes along their production value chains (Feenstra, 1998). On the other hand, horizontal FDI means MNEs are locating production close to final markets. The production process is duplicated, and demand in foreign markets is served by local production. Unambiguously, horizontal FDI tends to reduce trade volume while vertical FDI stimulates trade. Markusen (1984) analyzed the case in which an MNE optimized its operation with horizontal FDI. Brainard (1997)¹⁶ empirically showed that trade barriers, high

Please see for additional detail

transportation cost and the similarity between home and foreign markets are factors driving MNEs to expand overseas horizontally. Markusen and Maskus (1999) modeled the choice of the MNE's affiliates for local market versus exports as a function of country characteristics, such as market size, size differences and relative variations of endowments. In particular, utilizing developing countries as an export platform has emerged as another motivation for MNEs' FDI.

A widely debated aspect of the trade-FDI nexus developing countries concerns the role export processing zones (EPZs). According to the 'new view', the early studies, given their narrow focus on the direct economic impacts (or national profitability), have ignored important catalytic effects of EPZs on potential domestic exporters, operating through exposure to marketing know-how and technology, direct demonstration effects and linking, and bringing international buyers to the country. In many countries EPZs failed to generate these externalities and to provide a conducive setting for an export takeoff, not because of any intrinsic limitation of the zones but because they were used as a supplement to a highly regulated domestic economy. Moreover, the 'footloose industry argument' against EPZs (i.e., that they possessed shallow linkages with the rest of the economy and would quickly migrate in response to rising domestic costs) ignored the inevitable time lags involved in the process of linkage formation with the domestic economy by new entrants to EPZs.

There is convincing evidence that EPZ firms tend to increase their local purchases and shift over to more sophisticated production process as their operations in the host country mature, provided the local business environment is conducive to such behavior (Athukorala and Menon 1996, Lim and Pang 1991).

¹⁶ Brainard S.L., (1997), On PP American Economic Review 87 (4), 520-544.

3.5 Domestic infrastructure

One of the major factors affecting export supply capacity is the domestic infrastructure such as transport, communication and power (energy). It is likely to play an important role especially at the early stages of export sector development (UNCTAD, 2005). Most African countries are characterized by poor transport infrastructure, with insufficient communication and energy which are major impediment to trade, competitiveness and sustainable development (UNCTAD, 2005; Mbekeani, 2007; Bacchetta, 2007). This isolates countries inhibiting their participation in global production networks (Limão and Venables, 2000). Due to poor internal transport infrastructure African transport costs are high making their exports expensive and uncompetitive (Radelet and Sachs, 1998; Mathee, Grater and Krugell, 2007), and reducing foreign earnings from exports (UNCTAD, 2003; Mathee, Grater and Krugell, 2007). The analysis of African trade flow shows that their relative volume is low due to poor infrastructure (Limão and Venables, 2001). Therefore, improvements in transportation services and other infrastructure can lead to improvements in export performance (Fugazza, 2004; Clarke, 2005; Francois and Manchin, 2006; Edwards and Odendaal, 2008).

It has been shown that infrastructure affects trade via altering transport costs (Limão and Venables, 2001; Edwards and Odendaal, 2008). In this context, Edwards and Odendaal (2008) argue that infrastructure directly affects transport costs by determining the type of transport used (for example, the type and quality of roads determines the maximum size of trucks) and delivery time for the goods. Bougheas, Demetriades and Morgenroth (1999) have analyzed the effects of infrastructure on trade through its influence on transport costs and found a positive relationship between the quality of infrastructure and the volume of trade. Fugazza (2004) also finds that the internal transport infrastructure has a significant and positive impact in raising exports.

4 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

4.1 Introduction

In this chapter the main problems of the research are analyzed in line with existing theory. Many variables were listed as determinants of export that could affect Ethiopia's export performance. Comparison was done between Ethiopia and the two countries, Vietnam and Republic of South Korea. The analysis was based on extended time interval to harmonize the prevailing situation of three countries' export status, including the period where Ethiopia used to be the larger exporter than those 2 countries. It is attempted to see relevant facts about factors which helped Korea and Vietnam in the past. These include various policy mechanisms in export sector in 1960s to 1980s in Korea and since 1980s to present in Vietnam. The reason for differentiating the time scope for case of Korea in contrast to Ethiopia and Vietnam is that the current case for Korea is highly advanced through the use of high tech exports since mid-1980s. South Korea and Vietnam cases are seen in this paper just for policy lesson and to get idea about why Ethiopia is lagging behind, where it used to be larger exporter than both, i.e., compared to Korea in 1960s and Vietnam in 1981.

4.2 Export diversification

This paper uses the Herfindahl Index (HI) as the measure of export diversification. The HI lies between 0 and 1. Lower values of the index represent more diversification. The Herfindahl index simply computes the sum of squared shares of the variable in question, in this case export shares, or where s_i is the share of total exports attributed to the country's exports. According to (Hausmann, Hwang and Rodrik (2006), the HI indicates that there are two factors that can lead to a lower HI: an increase in the number of products or a more even distribution of the shares of the products. Economies with highly diversified export baskets are likely to have Herfindahl indices below 0.05, followed by a slightly less diversified range of 0.05-0.1. Between 0.1 and 0.4 is another

range of much more specialized export baskets. And above 0.4 is highly specialized; this paper use COMTRADE (export) data. All the export data are at the SITC- 3 digit level.


Mathematically the HI is represented as:

$$\text{HERFINDHL INDEX} = \sum_{i=1}^n (S_i)^2$$

In this paper, three countries' exports have been measured using the model. RoK from 1962 to 2009. Vietnam and Ethiopian case have been only from 1995 to 2009 due to data limitation. While measuring these indices exports of all products on SITC-3 base were used.

As seen in table 5 and figure 6, Ethiopian export sector share at present is in good progress. This could easily be seen the HI that significantly improved from approximately 0.45 in 1995 to 0.14 in 2009. But the bottom line is that the level of reduction of HI and export increment is not that much proportional. This finding helped to understand significant diversification effort is made but the way it was made is horizontal focusing on primary commodity expansion. Still the first three leading exportable products dominate to date. Moreover, the reduction of Ethiopia's HI from 1995 to 2009 is still attributed to the top three products which means the diversification effect is not significant when it is seen on the basis of all export sectors so far. Conversely, the level of diversification in South Korea since 1962 was high except 1970 which was relatively more specialized because of dominance of three products such as veneers, plywood boards and other wood, worked, nes, clothing except fur clothing and manufactured articles, nes having share of 11%, 25.5% & 12.5% respectively of total exports in the mentioned year. Since 1975 Korea's export have been the most diversified and having HI index share of less than 0.05. Vietnam is considered as developing country and more of traditional products exporter. However, its export was interestingly among the slightly less diversified which is almost less than 0.1, even in 2009 its HI was 0.04.

Figure: 6 HI indices of total export from 1962-2009.



Source: UNCOMTRADE SITC -3.

Table 5: Comparative HI indices progress.


Year	KOREA	Ethiopia	Vietnam
1962	0.086	NA	NA
1965	0.056	NA	NA
1970	0.104	NA	NA
1975	0.069	NA	NA
1980	0.023	NA	NA
1985	0.043	NA	NA
1990	0.026	NA	NA
1995	0.041	0.45	NA
1997	0.039	0.42	0.06
2000	0.044	0.32	0.089
2005	0.046	0.19	0.076
2009	0.05	0.14	0.041

Source: UNCOMTRADE, SITC-3.

Ethiopia's export is dominated by coffee exports among all exports as shown in figure 7. The dominance of coffee export may not be bad, but when we observe the HI indices of Ethiopia's export at product level and aggregate level coffee is the determining factors. This implies that coffee is not only leading the share of the Ethiopia's export but also concentrates all the country's export. However, the bottom line is that the value of the export of coffee in Ethiopia never reach 1 billion USD compared to that of Vietnams export having only 3 percent of total export share accounting approximately 1.billion USD. But in contrast Ethiopia's coffee share toll about 23 percent out of total export share with a value of USD 370 million (see figure 7 and 8).


Figure 8 explains the dominance of petroleum in Vietnam's total export and its diversification progress through time by show casing how petroleum's share affected its diversification aspects. It also explains that of Ethiopian coffee export share and the progress of its diversification. Through comparison of both countries case we understand that though petroleum is still the leading export in Vietnam, its impact on diversification is offset by other export items. However in Ethiopia as coffee export increases, the diversification value decreases leading to increased specialization just because of weakly competitive supply of other product items weakness in proportion to volume of coffee export.

Figure 7: Share of dominant export and its HI in Vietnam & Ethiopia.



Source: UNCOMTRADE SITC-3.

Figure 8: Share of dominant export items and total HI indices of Vietnam & Ethiopia.



Source: UNCOMTRADE SITC-3.

Figure 8 Presented above shows how the dominant export product affected overall level of diversification. No matter how the single product leads overall export, diversification depends on the comparative value of the dominant export item and the number of other export baskets and their value. In the figure Vietnamese’s export is still lead by petroleum however it has no negative impact or volatility on the overall diversification level, conversely the Ethiopia’s coffee export has significant negative impacts on the overall diversification levels of export.

The progress of export can also be explained by the number of export baskets and their value. Please see Table 6, 7, & 8 for Ethiopia, Vietnam, and RoK respectively.

Table 6: Ethiopia’s top export basket in % during 1995 to 2010.**Error! Not a valid link.**

Source: UNCOMTRADE 2010 SITC-3.

Table 7: Vietnamese top export basket in (%) during 1997 -2009.

Export items	1997	2000	2005	2009
PETROLEUM OILS, CRUDE	15.5	24.2	22.7	10.8
FOOT WEAR	10.5	10.2	9.5	7.3
others	74	65.6	67.8	81.9
Total	100	100	100	100

Table 8: Korea’s export basket in %during1962-2000 5 years intervals.

Export items	1962	1965	1970	1975	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000
Rice	15.8	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Fish, fresh & simply preserved	14.3	11.8	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Ores & concentrates of non-ferrous base metals	14.2	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Veneers, plywood boards	x	10.11	11	x	x	x	x	x	x

& other wood, worked, nes		3							
Clothing except fur clothing	2.00	x	25.5	22.2	x	x	x	x	x
Manufactured articles, nes	x	x	12.5	x	x	x	x	x	x
Margarine and shortening	x	x	x	x	22.2	x	x	x	x
Non-alcoholic beverages, nes	x	x	x	x	x	16.6	x	x	x
FIXED VEG.FAT,OILS,OTHER	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	14.3
TRANSISTORS, VALVES ,ETC.	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	15.5	x
others	53.7	77.9	51	77.8	77.8	83.4	x	x	x
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	15.5	14.3

Source: UNCOMTRADE 2010, SITC -3.

Note: “x” represents cases where export share less than 10% during the given year

As presented on figure 6 and table 5 above export diversification indices show too much difference among three countries. The table and the figure lack some consistency in time. For South Korea the data included from 1962 to 2009, whereas for Ethiopia and Vietnam the cases of interest were observed from 1990s to 2009. Therefore, no data on product by product basis prior to the 1990s. However, this does not have negative impact on the analysis because the trends indicate that there had not been grounds for going back for concentration.

Korea’s export was diversified at its early stage of the development beginning from 1962 with its HI indices of less than 0.1 except for the year 1970 a maximum value of 0.104. This was due to the high share of garments except those for fur and manufacturing articles, net in export basket. Apart from this the nation’s export basket has been highly diversified and always been less than 0.05 since 1980s.

In the case of Vietnam also the export basket was too diversified and almost less than 0.1 HI indices. It was even less than 0.05 since late 2005, though petroleum is the leading export commodity of the country.

Ethiopia's export basket is still under the range of 0.1 and 0.4 a value ranging from much more specialized to highly specialized export basket. The HI indices were 0.45 in the year 1995 but in the year 2009 it became 0.14, encouraging but still requires much more improvement. This would be revealed looking at mix of commodity on figures 7 and 8, which take the share from Coffee.

When Korea started its economic development specially the change in trade policy from inward to out ward industrialization in 1962, the country had almost good status in terms of trained manpower and supported by government policy that gave first priority to "Growth number one". Lack of natural resources could have influenced the nation to be concentrated in specific exportable products like Ethiopia had coffee as primary products. But the nation's good leadership with a catching up effects of North Korea in 1960s and Japan later on complemented by Government commitment to finance export sector in general and the private sector in particular and the active role of private sector helped to great extent. However, the same scenario was observed in Vietnam like having relatively good level of educated man power Vietnam also had plenty of primary resources like coffee and petroleum. Despite the facts of Korean and Vietnamese situation, Ethiopian case is not strong in every aspect including literacy rate, as well as natural resources like mineral and petroleum that could have been easily exploited. Though the country has potential of all these resources, it has been only focusing on primary agricultural products of volatile prices in international market. Ethiopia's export basket is concentrated in very few primary products like coffee and oil seeds and on gold, Very limited light industry products such as leather, hides and skin and very recently on flower. Therefore, even when the share of coffee decreases, the diversification level by itself is very insignificant going back to single dominant products like for instance oil seed as presented on table 6. Even then coffee remains dominant export basket in the country's export mix. The


drawback is not its highest share, but it's being sole diverter of export mix direction even in the diversification index. In the Vietnamese export mix eventhough petroleum is the leading export mix its share is small and other export commodities mix is higher. The Koreans export mix also had not shown any such big share by single commodity right from the very beginning of development except in 1965 and 1970s.

In general , export diversification is basically affected by conventional factors such as human capital explained by level of literacy, infrastructure, institution which could encourage the role of private sector in the economy, as well as good policy that gave incentive for boosting Korea's export.

4.3 FDI and export growth


As seen in the literature review section FDI has both positive and negative effects on export growth, but both having same effects on economic growth. However, this paper's main objective is how to capitalize on or increase export growth eventually. Under this scenario, the FDI inflow was analyzed in all the three country cases and its impacts on export growth are shown. Eventhough FDI inflow prevails in three country cases, its impact on export expansion is not similar and the amounts of inflow are also difference from time to time. Figure 8 shows FDI inflow in million USD to each of these countries during 1970 to 2009. In addition, the share of export generated from FDI is also considered as determining factor for the comparative analysis between Ethiopia and Vietnam as presented on figure 10.

Figure: 9 FDI inflows since 1970-2009.



Source: UNCTAD data base.

Figure 10: Vietnamese export from 1995-2008 by ownership.



Source: UNCTAD data base.

In the growing and interdependent world, the role of FDI is crucial for a country’s economic growth in general and export expansion in specific. The main issue is to determine how FDI can work for the country’s export expansion as well as to know the main preconditions to attract FDI. The conventional advantages that a country has, and the motive of the incoming FDI to the country, could be the main factors that either boost the country’s export or even take the advantages of domestic economy leading to decreasing export.

On the basis of the above factors, the flow of FDI in Ethiopia is the least among the sub Saharan Africa and also the least compared to Korea and Vietnam. The main problem of the FDI in Ethiopia was explained as the policy in the past regimes. However these policy issues were dramatically changed and the reform has been introduced in Ethiopia since 1992 though some of the sectors are reserved for domestic firms and the government. As shown on figure 9, even after the reform the nation’s FDI inflow is too small. This problem is explained by both domestic situation and the motive of firms. According to the domestic law, there are some sectors which are not permitted for the

foreign firms. These include subsectors such as telecommunication, energy transmission, financial institutions and banks as well as Aviation service. On the contrary, foreign firms highly demanded to invest in those protected areas with the expectation of getting profit free of risk than if they invested on export items. Because the later are characterized by insufficient infrastructure and high transportation cost due to the nation's landlockedness. Since 1992 FDI is small in number but all have invested mainly in the areas including tourism, services and domestic market oriented business. During 2009/10 only 8% of FDI inflows went to export sector such as cutting flower business.¹⁷

In the case of Vietnam as shown in figure 10 large influx of FDI has been observed since 1970 especially after the reforms. The nation is not only benefited from FDI inflow but enjoyed export expansion due to foreign owned firms. Vietnam was a country surrounded by big and emerging countries as well as endowed with natural resources like coffee and petroleum. Also the economy was facilitated by relatively better educated and cheap labor force, infrastructure and had strong market integration with the rest of the world.

Korea's export growth was not supported by FDI as seen from various literatures. The nation enjoyed increasing FDI inflow since 1980 though boosted its export in increasing trends since 1964 (see figure 9). The economic development history of Korea revealed that it allowed FDI at the early stage but was reversed in late 1960s to protect the domestic industry. That time, the nation's export was boosted by domestic industry rather than FDI. However, the reason for the increase in the inflow of FDI in to the country since 1980s was market liberalization.


See ¹⁷ No data on exports generated from foreign firms in Ethiopia were available before 2009.

4.4 Participation of private sector and export growth

It is believed that the role of domestic private sector in the economy is very significant. Reviews by the international financial institutions and many scholars indicate that larger saving can be achieved from less consumption and it can affect investments negatively. No longer could this argument work in developing country as we know in depth that saving in developing country is just for buying some luxury products like gold, jewelry and home furniture especially in sub Saharan Africa and other least developed countries in the world.


The comparative study of the three countries' case in Figure 11 and 12 indicate that the rate of 5 year average saving in all the three countries. The average trends of improvements are seen whether they are proportional with export or not. Whereas figures 13 and 14 deal with the 5 year average credit provided by domestic financial institutions to the private sector and the rate of improvements in the sector respectively. The finding of the comparison is presented below.

Figure: 11:- 5 year average saving %of GDP.




Source: world Bank development indicator.

Figure 12: Trends of 5year average savings rates %of GDP in three country case.




Source : World Bank development indicator.

Figure 13:- 5 year average credit provided to the private sector %of GDP.



Source: world Bank development indicator.

Figure: 14:-Trends of 5 year average credits provided to the private sector.



Source : World Bank development indicators.

The role of private sector in the economy is vital for export growth. The chance for private sector to enjoy in the economy is explained by level of financial support by the government at the early stage of development. As attempted to address the role of the government in the review literature, free market is the best policy for export growth. However, this principle works in well-organized environment that does not lead to failure of market and related industries. Otherwise, the history of free market shows that it could not work well as expected and this has been claimed by pro liberalist.

In this paper the role of private sector can be explained by financial facility for the firms in the country, specifically by the amount of domestic credit provided to the private sectors as percentage of GDP. Eventhough credit provided to the private sector is directly related with firms and industry, there are indirect effects of the nation’s contribution to the GDP through saving every year.

Figures 11 and 12 show that the average saving rate on five year bases in the three countries is quiet different. In Ethiopia, average saving rate never exceeded 20% as seen in figure 10. However, the saving rate of Vietnam and Korea exceeded 30% of the GDP. This indicates that the saving capacity of Ethiopia is still weak.

Moreover, trends of saving rate are falling for Ethiopia significantly in comparison to Korea and Vietnam (see figure 12. But for Korea the decrease in the rate is natural because the country has approached the stage of economic maturity.

Korea was the most successful country in resource mobilization at its early development, especially from 1960s to 1980s. Vietnam is still enjoying larger saving rate and again the trends of saving is also much better than Korea and Ethiopia. This stage of development could have also been observed in the Ethiopian case.


The direct effects of private role in export sector can further be explained by the amount of credit provided by the domestic banks to the private sector. Average credits provided to the domestic private sector as share of GDP and the changing trends of credit respectively were shown based on five years average (see figures 13 & 14). In Korea and Vietnam, the average credit given to the private sector is increasing in absolute terms and it was higher than 100% and 92% respectively of GDP in 2009. However, in Ethiopia this share is below 50% in the same period. Moreover, the trend of the change is disappointing as seen in figure 14. In Ethiopian it is decreasing though increasing in absolute terms which are unusual at this stage. However for Vietnam the trend is encouraging because in the year 2000-2004 it has increased by 97% and in the year 2005-2009 it has further increased by 107%.

4.5 Domestic infrastructure and export growth

Domestic infrastructure is vital for a country’s economic growth. Improved level of infrastructure is an incentive to engage in export sector investment by foreign as well as domestic private firms. As the seen in the review the quality of domestic transport infrastructure has important role in decreasing transportation cost to the international market. In addition, communication and power are also important in motivating FDI to invest in a country’s industry.

The trends of road quality in terms of % of paved road in the country; electric power production (KWH); telephone lines per (100) person; air transport –freight (million ton-km) of the three countries are presented in figures 15, 16, 17, &18.

Figure 15: Roads, paved (% of total roads).




Source: World Bank development indicator.

The quality of roads in Ethiopia lags behind both Korea and Vietnam. Without standard quality road transport, export cannot be increased because; the transportation cost will be very high. This scenario is too challenging for Ethiopia since it is landlocked Vietnam compared to Ethiopia has comparative advantage of sea access to integrate with the rest of the world. In addition to road transport infrastructure air transport is very

important this day since it serves to transport value added manufactured and horticulture products such as flower. Regarding this, Ethiopian Airline is among the three leading air ways in the African continent. It has contributed significant incentive for firms to engage in horticulture investment. (Please see Figure 16).


Figure 16: Air transport, freight (million ton-km).



Source: World Bank development indicator.

Power is the most fundamental requirements since the world shifted its merchandize trade from primary export to the manufactured export. Every investment whether domestic or FDI require energy sufficiency as prerequisite. Result of comparisons among the three country cases shows that in the energy sector Ethiopia are still lagging behind, though the country is investing in increasing trend in electricity production. However, the current situation is encouraging for further investment attraction if energy capacity in the country's action in provision of power continues. Overall, energy is the necessary condition for FDI to come and invest it further can also determine the sector in which to invest based on their energy requirements.


Figure 17: Electricity production (kWh).



Source: World Bank development indicator.

At last but not the least telecommunication is also vital in the dynamic global environment. Both exporters and importers need communication to fix contract, to get information. Without efficient and sufficient communication network it is impossible to handle international trade in the contrary to the 17th century situation. The trends of telephone lines per 100 people in Ethiopia are among the lowest in the world and it remained constant. This is one of the problems of investment in the country which need government's emphasis.

Figure 18: Telephone lines (per 100 people).



Source: World Bank development indicator.

In general, in the infrastructure sector all the indicators seen in the above figures are not that much satisfactory except the Air Transport. All the infrastructures have direct impact on export growth and this could easily be proved by the role of the efficiency of the Ethiopian airline which has given incentives for FDI and private sector to invest in horticulture like cut flower as of 2009.

4.6 Export promotion tools and export growth in Ethiopia

Ethiopia has used some of export promotion mechanisms to avert export sector problem, among those the focus of this study are listed as follows;

The Ethiopian currency Devaluated by more than 140 percent in terms of US dollar, the tariff regime was continuously revised and was reduced on a stage basis from a maximum of 230 percent to 50 percent currently 35%, the import and export licensing system were simplified, a duty draw back scheme was introduced, State exporting enterprises were provided a managerial autonomy but deprived of a monopoly power, the Ethiopian Export Promotion Agency established, Exemption of capital requirement for foreign investors which export more than 75 percent of their outputs; The entire above mentioned are applied in Ethiopia since late 1990s but challenged by weaker bureaucracy and inefficiency of public sector.

Figure 19: SWOT matrix for Ethiopia current status and Government policy

	Strength	Weakness
	Continuous reduction of coffee export share	Export concentration in few traditional products
	Export oriented growth strategy (with promotion policies)	Low FDI
	Growing Air transport	Weak private sector participation in the economy
	Prior investment on	Low level of credit disbursement
		Poor infrastructure

	infrastructure	
<p>Opportunity</p> <p>FDI Market access (AGOA, EBA)</p>	<p>Taking advantage of opened market opportunity (AGOA, COMESA, EBA)</p> <p>Encouraging private sector in export sector and support through financial instruments</p> <p>Increase export diversification should be in both even distribution and export baskets as well</p>	<p>Horizontal diversification at this level will be good option</p> <p>Establishing export processing and industrial zone would be good to tackle infrastructure problem</p> <p>Export financing and market search by government will boost export</p>
<p>Threats</p> <p>Land lockedness; Developed country policy on agricultural products; The volatility of primary product price</p>	<p>Increasing transport corridor</p> <p>Creating economic diplomacy as well as increasing export basket and standard</p> <p>Taking advantage of volume rather than price at the current level.</p>	<p>Improving infrastructure</p> <p>Expanding dry port and multi modal transport system</p> <p>In the long run focusing on heavy industrialization and highly value added products</p>

As it is already presented on the SWOT matrix, specific factors are identified which could affect Ethiopia's export leading to instable economic growth. Among those basic factors, high concentrations of exports on few primary products, weak infrastructure & the low share of private sector in the export sector of the economy are bold. This has happened due to policy problems that facilitate access to domestic and international market which is related with geographic bottlenecks. Domestically, though the nation allowed private sector to engage in the economy, it was without sufficient facilitation of finance and consistent political support at different stages of political hierarchy. Other policy issues are restriction of sectors like telecommunication, distribution of electric power and financial service from domestic private sector and foreign firms' involvement. However both domestic and foreign firms most of the time demanded to invest their business in domestic oriented business and restricted sectors for private involvement. Because they want to minimize risks due to weak infrastructure which is explained by poor road, communication, energy facilities and low level of skilled labor that is essential for the real sector business specially manufacturing. All these cumulative effects contributed for poor growth in comparisons with the two countries used for benchmarking. The current global market situation opened access through mechanism like AGOA provided by USA, EBA provided by EU and furthermore COMESA inside the continent Africa. Maximizing the use of these opportunities could be achieved through improvement of the areas of the weakness. This could include actions like increasing multi transport corridor, providing financial support and political support for domestic private sector, linking both input and output producers in

effective manner and boosting economic and social infrastructure. The government should improve its institution both in quality as well as policy arena in order to allow firms to engage in every business at least in joint venture; and improving public sector is also vital.

5 CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATION

The Ethiopian economy remains highly dependent upon coffee production, with 25 percent of the population deriving its livelihood from the coffee sector. Indeed, from 1995 to 2010, coffee accounted for an average of about 44 percent of the country's total value of exports. Gold, leather products, and oilseeds constitute some of the country's other important exports. Ethiopia mostly exports agricultural products and imports higher valued capital goods. The country runs a severe balance of trade deficit, because the return from exports is by far less than the expense needed for the imports. This forced Ethiopia to look for additional finance to cover the cost of its imports. Lack of own financial source has led the country to the development of a significantly sized external debt.

In the comparative case study of Ethiopian, south Korean & Vietnamese export performance from 1960s to 2009, the following main issues are identified that hampered Ethiopia's export. The main findings are that;

Exports are concentrated on few traditional exports specially dominated by coffee export. Lack of competitiveness is described by the low share of private sector in the export sector economy. The country lacks good financial sector and the capital formation is insufficient. This is caused by low share of the saving in the entire GDP of the country and eventually led to the low credit access to the private sector. As few traditional export baskets are generated from government and the household sector, the share of export became tiny fraction of the GDP.

FDI is supposed to be complementary for the nation's export growth; however FDI inflow in Ethiopia is a little, even though the country has reformed all the trade and FDI policy to encourage inflow of FDI. This is so because of insufficient infrastructure and financial market as well as some restricted sectors left only for state to run the business. Though there was some inflow of FDI, its contribution to the export sector is not more

than 8%. Even this share is generated from cut flower which was run by domestic private sector.

The country has enacted policy for FDI as well as private business, with restricting some of the sectors as state owned that private sector and foreign firms are badly demanding to invest in. Eventhough the country tried to implement the policy that encouraged free market economy, still the nation's export does not exceed 12% of the GDP.

Policy implication and recommendations for the state consideration to avert all or the major problems are presented here. These policy ideas are believed to complement and fill gaps in the already existing policy initiatives.

As advocated a lot by economists and as analyzed in this paper, when country's export is concentrated in few export baskets there is no longer prospects for export growth, this is proved by Ethiopia's export sector performance in the past decades. The nation's export was concentrated on few baskets and there were no significant growth in the country's export. Therefore, the nation should diversify its export basket by promoting both private sector and foreign firms in light industry, and traditional sector that would help the nation's export to improve.

Free market policy alone does not make any sense without fulfilling necessary condition to maximize involvement of private sector. As the analysis result revealed, private sector exists but few and only tied with domestic business oriented. Most of them do so just in fear of challenges from weak infrastructure, financial constraint, and poor access to ports. Therefore, the nation should encourage domestic private investors to involve in the export market by providing export financing, and administrative support, with necessary incentives like discretionary currency devaluation rather than uniform devaluation. Expanding multi modal transport system and dry port is also an advantage.

The financial market and institution are under developed in Ethiopia just like most of the developing countries. Practically there is no access for private sector to access

sufficient loan for the investment. Hence, the government should provide adequate and subsidized credit facility for the private sector focusing on export industry. This will most likely magnify the role of the private sector in the economy. For this the government should have sufficient capital. However, as analyzed in this paper the share of saving in the GDP is the lowest in the world, therefore the government should mobilize the domestic resources by increasing saving.

Export competitiveness is also determined by who shares in the economy. As the literature review and data analysis indicate, FDI is one of the important instruments that could increase country's linkage with the rest of the world by enabling rich export basket for a nation. As compared to the Vietnam and South Korea, Ethiopia's FDI inflow share is the lowest and most of them are engaged in domestic oriented sector. Therefore the nation should encourage FDI by introducing EPZ to tackle both infrastructure problems and to catch up the export basket.

In general, Ethiopia should promote export through encouraging FDI, by setting multi-incentive and introducing EPZ. The country should encourage domestic private sector through provision of financial instruments such as export financing, discretionary devaluation and others. Moreover, the country should mobilize its financial resources by all mechanisms from the household sector and should inject those resources to the export sector.

REFERENCES

Books and Journals

- Abay Asfaw and Zewdu Belete (1999), "Export Earnings Instability and Export Structure: The Case of Ethiopia", Proceedings of the Ethiopian Economic Association Annual Conference on the Ethiopian Economy, pp. 243-265.
- ADB (1997) Emerging Asia: changes and challenges. Manila: Asian Development Bank
- Akinlo A.E. (2004), "Foreign direct investment and growth in Nigeria: An empirical investigation", Journal of Policy Modeling 26, pp. 627-639.
- Alemayehu Geda (1999), "Profile of Ethiopia's Export Performance", Proceedings of the Ethiopian Economic Association Annual Conference on the Ethiopian Economy, pp. 271-282
- Alemayehu Geda (2006), Openness, Inequality and Poverty in Africa, DESA Working Paper No. 25.
- Alemayehu Seyoum and Tadele Ferede (2004). The Structure of the Ethiopian Economy - A SAM-based Characterization. Paper prepared as a background paper for the Ethiopia Country Economic Memorandum: World Bank, "Ethiopia-A Strategy to Balance and Stimulate Growth", A Country Economic Memorandum, Report No. 29383-ET, Green Cover, the World Bank (January 2005).
- Amdissa Teshome (2007), The Compatibility of Trade Policy with Domestic Policy Interventions in Ethiopia
- Amsden, A.H. (1989), Asia's next giant: South Korea and late industrialization, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Bacchetta, Marc (2007), Releasing Export Constraints: The Role of Governments, AERC Research Project on Export Supply Response Capacity Constraints in Africa, Paper No. ESWP_01.

- Bae, Jin-Young, "Incentive Structure and Its Changes in the Korean Industrial Policy Regimes from 1962-1997", *Journal of the Korean Economy*, Vol. 2, No. 2, Fall 2001: 297-331.
- Baldwin, R. E. (1979). "Determinants of Trade and Foreign Investment: Further Evidence", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 61: 40-48.
- Balassa, B. (1971) *The structure of protection in developing countries*, Baltimore: the Johns Hopkins university press
- Balassa, B. (1981) *The newly industrializing developing countries after the oil crisis* *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* 117:1
- Balassa, Bela, et. al., (1982) *Development Strategies in Semi-industrial Economies*, Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Balassa, B. (1985) *Out ward orientation the world bank July*. Discussion paper NO.NRD 148)
- Bhagwati, J, (1978), *Anatomy and consequence of exchange rate control regimes*. Cambridge, mass, Ballinger publishing company for national bureau of economic research
- Bhagwati, J, (1987), *Out ward orientation: Trade issues*. In v. corbo, M. Goldstein and M. khan (ed). Washington, DC, international Monetary Fund and the World Bank
- Bhagwati, J, (1988), *Export-promoting trade strategy: Issues and Evidences*. The World Bank research observer
- Biggs, Tyler (2007), *Assessing Export Supply Constraints: Methodology, Data, and Measurement*, AERC Research Project on Export Supply Response Capacity Constraints in Africa, Paper No. ESWP_02
- Block, Walter, *Resource Misallocations, Externalities, and Environmentalism in the U.S. and Canada*, Proceedings of the 24th Pacific Northwest Regional Economic Conference, 1990, pp. 91-94
- Bougheas, S, Demetriades, P and Morgenroth, E. (1999), "Infrastructure, transport costs and trade", *Journal of International Economics* 47, pp. 169-189.

- Brainard S.L., (1997), An empirical assessment of the proximity concentration tradeoff between multinational sales and trade, *American Economic Review* 87 (4), 520-544.
- Chaudhry, Shahid A, and N, Hamid (1988) Pakistan. In Asian development bank (ed) Foreign Trade barriers and Export growth. Manila Asian development bank
- Choi, H. (1984), *The Economic History of Korea*, Seoul, Bakyongsa Co.
- Collier, P. (2007), *The Bottom Billion: Why the poorest countries are failing and what can be done about it*: oxford university press
- Dal Hyun Kim (2010), *Development experience of the Korean economy: The Role of Government in management of economic development in Korea*, Graduate school of pan pacific international studies, Kyung-Hee University; Kyung Hee university press
- De Gregorio, J. (1992), "Economic growth in Latin America", *Journal of Development Economics* 39, pp. 58-84.
- De Mello, L.R. (1997) "Foreign Direct Investment in developing countries and growth: A Selective Survey", *Journal of Development Studies* 34(1), pp. 1-34.
- De Mello, L.R. (1999), "Foreign Direct Investment-led Growth: Evidence from time series and panel data", *Oxford Economic Papers* 51, Oxford University Press.
- Dunning (1977) *Determinants of ownership advantages: Otago Management Graduate Review*
- Eung-Kyuk Park & Chang Seok Park (2010), *Korea from Rags to Riches*; The Korea institute of Public Administration
- Ethiopia Export performance review, Ethiopia Revenue and Custom authority, (2010)
- Francois, Joseph and Manchin, M. (2006), "Institutional Quality, Infrastructure, and the Propensity to Export"
- Feenstra, R. C. (2002), "Border Effects and the Gravity Equation: Consistent Methods for Estimation", *Scottish Journal of Political Economy* 49(5).

- Fischer, S. (1993) The role of macroeconomic factors in growth, *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 32, pp.485-512.
- Fugazza, Marco (2004), *Export Performance and Its Determinants: Supply and Demand Constraints*, Policy Issues in International Trade and Commodities Study Series No. 26.
- Hakkala, Katarina and Per Ola Nilsson (1997) 'Trade Liberalisation under Transition in Vietnam: A Study on Trade and Specialisation', Minor Field Study Series No.76, Department of Economics, University of Lund.
- Hausmann, Ricardo, Jason Hwang, and Dani Rodrik, "What You Export Matters," Harvard University, April 2006.
- Helpman, E. (1984). "A Simple Theory of International Trade with Multinational Corporations" *Journal of Political Economy*, 92(3): 451-471. .
- Hobday, M. (1994) Export-led technology development in the four dragons: the case of electronics, *Development and Change*, 25, pp.333-63.
- Hoekman, B. and S. Djankov (1997). "Determinants of Export Structure of Countries in Central and Eastern Europe", *The World Bank Economic Review*, 11(3): 471-487.
- Imbs, Jean, and Romain Wacziarg, "Stages of Diversification," *American Economic Review*, 93(1), March 2003, 63-86.
- J.Weiss (2005) *Export Growth and Industrial Policy: Lessons from the East Asian Miracle experience* ,ADB Institution discussion paper.
- Joosten, Frank (2007), "Development Strategy for the Export Oriented Horticulture in Ethiopia", Wageningen University and Research (WUR), Netherlands.
- Khan, Ashfaque H., A. Malik, and L.Hasan (1995) *Exports, Growth and Causality: An Application of cointegration and error correction Modeling*, *The Pakistan Development Review*

- Kim, J. and Lau, L. (1994) The sources of growth of the East Asian newly industrialized Countries, *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 8, pp.235-71.
- Kim, Jeongryum, *From a Least Developed Country to a Developed Country*, 2006 (in Korean).
- Kong Dan Oh (2010), *Bureaucracy and Think Tanks, Korea from rags to riches*, KIPA
- Koo, B.Y. (1986), The role of government in Korea's industrial development, in K.U. Lee (ed.) *Industrial Development Policies and Issues*, Seoul: Korea Development Institute.
- Korea Trade Insurance Corporation (K-sure), *Export Insurance Magazine*, K-sure: Seoul, January-February 2010 (2010a).
- Kravis, I.B. and Robert, E. Lipsey (1982). "The Location of Overseas Production and Production for Export by US Multinational Firms", *Journal of International Economics*, 12: 201-223.
- Krugell W. F. Naudé W. A. &, (2007). "Investigating geography and institutions as determinants of foreign direct investment in Africa using panel data," *Applied Economics*, Taylor and Francis Journals, vol. 39(10), pages 1223-1233
- Krueger, Anne O. (1978) *Foreign Trade Regime and Economic Development: Liberalization attempts and consequences*, NBER, Bar linger publishing company, Cambridge Massachusetts.
- Krueger, Anne O. (1981) *Export Led industrial growth –Reconsidered*. In W. Hong and L.B. Krause (ed) *Trade and Growth of the Advanced Developing countries in the pacific Basin*. Seoul: Korea Development Institute
- Krueger, Anne O. (1984) *Trade policies in Developing countries*. In R.W.Jones and P.B.kenen (ed) *Handbook of international Economics1*. Amsterdam: north Holland
- Krueger, Anne O. "Import Substitution Versus Export Promotion." *Finance and Development* 22 (June 1985): 20—23.

- Krueger, Anne O. (1994), The political economy of the Rent seeking society. American economic review
- Krueger, Anne O. (1997) Trade policy and Economic Development: how we learn. American economic Review
- Krueger, Anne O. (1997a) Factors affecting export growth and performance. Paper presented at the commencement work shop on Asian exports: past trends and future prospects, Manila: Asian Development Bank
- Krugman, P. (1994), The myth of Asia's miracle, Foreign Affairs, 73, pp.62-4.
- Kumar, N. (1998). "Multinational Enterprises, Regional Economic Integration, and Export-Platform Production in the Host Countries: An Empirical Analysis for the US and Japanese Corporations", Weltwirtschaftliches Archie, 134: 450-483.
- Lall, S. (1978). "Trans nationals, Domestic Enterprises and the Industrial Structure in Host LDCs: A Survey", Oxford Economic Papers, 30: 217-248.
- Lee, H.K. (1996), The Korean Economy: perspectives for the twenty first century, State University of New York Press, New York, USA.
- Mah, Jai S. and Yunah Song, "The Export Insurance System of Korea: Its Implications on the Trade Regulations in the Global Trading System", Journal of World Trade, Vol. 35, No. 4, August 2001: 603-614.
- Mah, Jai S., "Export Promotion and Economic Development of Korea", Journal of World Trade, February 2006.
- Mah, Jai S., "Government-led Export Promotion in Light of Distributional Fairness in the Global Trading System", Journal of Economic Issues, Vol. 45, No. 4, December 2010: 877-894 (forthcoming).
- Markusen (1983), Substitution in Markusen's Classic Trade and Factor Movement Complementarity Models
- Nayyar, D. (1978). "Transnational Corporations and Manufactured Exports from Poor Countries", Economic Journal, 88: 59-84.

- Nurkse, R. (1961) Balanced and Unbalanced growth. In G Haberler and R.M. Stern (ed) Equilibrium Growth in the world Economy, economic Essays by Ranger Nurkse. Harvard economic studies 118
- O'Sullivan, P. J. (1993). "An assessment of Irelands' Export-Led Growth Strategy via Foreign Direct Investment, 1960-1980", *Weltwirtschaftliches Archive*, 129: 139-158.
- Park, Y.C. (1994), Korea: development and structural change of the financial system, in H.T.Patrick and Y.C. Park (eds.) *The Financial Development of Japan, Korea and Taiwan: growth, repression, and liberalization*, Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York.
- Park, Y.C. and Kim, D.W. (1994), Korea development and structural change of the banking system, in H.T. Patrick and Y.C. Park (eds.) *The Financial Development of Japan, Korea and Taiwan: growth, repression, and liberalization*, Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York.
- Petrohilos, G. A. (1989). "Foreign Direct Investment and the Development Process: The Case of Greece", Avebury: Gower Publishing Company Ltd.
- Pfaffermayr, M. (1996). "Foreign outward Direct Investment and Exports in Austrian Manufacturing: Substitutes or Complements?" *Weltwirtschaftliches Archive*, 132(2): 501-522.
- Prebisch, R. (1959) International Trade and payments in an Area of Coexistence: commercial policy in the under developed countries. *American economic Review*
- Ranis, G. (1989), *The political economy of development policy change: a comparative study of Taiwan and Korea*, Korea Development Institute, Working Paper No. 8916, Seoul, KDI, June
- Ricardo Hausmann and Dani Rodrik (2003), *ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AS SELF-DISCOVERY*

- Rehman, K. (1991). "Firms' Competitive and National Comparative Advantages as Joint Determinants of Trade Composition", *Weltwirtschaftliches Archive*, 127(1): 83-97.
- Rhee, J.C. (1994), *The State and Industry in South Korea: the limits of the authoritarian state*, Routledge, London and New York
- Sharma, K. (2000). "Export Growth in India: Has FDI Played a Role?" at:<http://www.econ.yale.edu/~egcenter/>
- Shewangizaw Sileshi. (2003), *The Role of Diversification in Reducing Impacts of Export instability on Ethiopian economic Growth: An Empirical investigation*. M.A thesis
- Song, B.N. (1990), *The rise of the Korean economy*, Oxford University Press, Hong Kong
- Tatyana Soubbotina (2004), *Beyond Economic Growth: An introduction to sustainable development* (2nd ed), The world bank, Washington, D.C
- Todaro, M. P. (1997) "Economic Development" Sixth Edition. New York: Longman.
- Togan, S. (1993). "How to Assess the Significance of Export Incentives": An Application to Turkey", *Weltwirtschaftliches Archive*, 129 (4): 777-799.
- Todaro P, Michael; Smith, Stephen (2006) *Economic Development*, 9th edition, United States of America: Pearson Education Limited, Harlow
- UNCTAD (2005), "Determinants of Export Performance: Developing Countries in International Trade", *Trade and Development Index 2*, pp. 49-79.
- UNCTAD (2007), *Market Access, Market Entry and Competitiveness*, Background note by the UNCTAD Secretariat, TD/B/COM.1/83.
- UNCTAD (2008), *Export Competitiveness and Development in LDCs: Policies, Issues and Priorities for LDCs for Action during and Beyond UNCTAD XII*, UNCTAD/ALDC/2008/1
- Vernon, R. (1966) *International investment and international trade in the product cycle*. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 80, pp. 190-207.

- V. Chandra, J. Boccardo and I. Osorio (2007), Export diversification and Competitiveness in Developing Cou working paper
- Wacziarg, Romain & Welch, Karen Horn, 2003. "Trade Liberalization and Growth: New Evidence," Research Papers 1826, Stanford University, Graduate School of Business
- Weissleder, L. (2009) Foreign Direct Investment in the Agricultural Sector in Ethiopia in ECOFAIR TRADE DIALOGUE, Discussion Papers.
- World Bank (1991) World Development Report, New York: Oxford University Press, Various Issues.
- World Bank (1993), The East Asian Miracle: Economic Growth and Public Policy, Oxford University Press, London and New York.
- Yoo, J. (1990), The industrial policy of the 1970s and the evolution of the manufacturing sector in Korea, Korea Development Institute Working Paper, No.9017, Seoul: Korea Development Institute.
- Young, A. (1994a), Accumulation, exports and growth in the high performing Asian economies: a comment, Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, No. 40.
- Young, A. (1994b), `Lessons from the East Asian NICs: A contrarian view, European Economic Review, 38, pp.964-73

Websites

<http://www.mor.gov.et/>

<http://www.moi.gov.et/>

<http://www.google.com/url?sa=D&q=http://www.nbe.gov.et/&usg=AFQjCNFVEYpO1smXfhlz7VsCdIWIZdoo7A>

<http://www.mofed.gov.et>

<http://www.ethiopia.gov.et/English/MOTI/Pages/PrivacyPolicy.aspx>

<http://www.ethioinvest.org/>

http://www.gso.gov.vn/default_en.aspx?tabid=472&idmid=3

<http://www.koreaeximbank.go.kr>, 2004