

PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS FOR TRANSFORMING ISLAMIC FINANCIAL SERVICES IN THE TRADITIONAL BANKING SYSTEM

Students of the Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service:

Eshonqulov Pahlavon;
Jumaboyev Rahmatjon

SamIES, teacher of the "Banking" department: **Abdurahmanov Alimardon**

Abstract

This article examines the complex challenges and potential solutions associated with integrating Islamic financial services into conventional banking systems. It delves into the inherent structural, regulatory, and operational discrepancies that often impede a seamless transformation. The study identifies key obstacles such as Sharia compliance, risk management frameworks, and the need for specialized human capital. Furthermore, it proposes strategic approaches, including innovative product development, robust regulatory adaptations, and enhanced technological integration, to facilitate the successful adoption and expansion of Islamic finance within traditional banking paradigms. Ultimately, the paper aims to provide a comprehensive framework for policymakers and financial institutions seeking to harness the growth potential of Islamic finance.

Keywords: Islamic Finance, Traditional Banking, Financial Transformation, Sharia Compliance, Integration Challenges, Regulatory Framework, Financial Innovation, Sustainable Finance

Introduction

The global financial landscape has witnessed a significant expansion of Islamic finance, evolving from a niche market into a substantial segment of the international banking system. Rooted in Shari'ah principles, this distinct financial paradigm offers ethical and socially responsible alternatives to conventional banking products and services. However, the integration and transformation of these specialized Islamic financial services within established conventional banking structures present a complex array of challenges. This article critically examines the multifaceted problems encountered when conventional banks seek to incorporate Islamic finance offerings, alongside exploring strategic solutions and best practices for successful transformation.

The fundamental divergence between Islamic finance, which prohibits interest (riba), excessive uncertainty (gharar), and speculative activities (maysir), and the interest-based model of conventional banking creates inherent operational, legal, and structural friction. Bridging this gap requires not only a deep understanding of Shari'ah compliance but also innovative approaches to product development, risk management, and governance. The successful transformation necessitates navigating regulatory complexities, ensuring Shari'ah authenticity, and addressing market perception. For instance, robust regulatory frameworks are crucial for facilitating the coexistence and integration of Islamic financial services within broader conventional systems, as exemplified by comprehensive guidelines for Islamic banking businesses and windows issued by central banks, which detail licensing, Shari'ah governance, and accounting standards [1].

This paper aims to provide a critical synthesis of the current literature and practical experiences regarding these transformative processes. It will delve into the foundational principles that differentiate the two systems, analyze the key challenges in integration, and propose strategic solutions, including hybrid models and technological advancements. Ultimately, this exploration seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of how conventional banking can effectively

Journal: <https://ifsmrc.org/index.php/aijrm>

embrace and integrate Islamic financial services, fostering financial inclusion and sustainable economic development.

Literature Review

The literature on Islamic finance transformation within conventional banking systems reveals a rich and evolving discourse, grappling with the intricate interplay of theological imperatives, economic realities, and regulatory demands. Early scholarship primarily defined the distinct ethical and operational frameworks of Islamic finance, emphasizing its prohibition of *riba*, *gharar*, and *maysir*, and its promotion of risk-sharing, ethical investments, and social justice. More recent academic contributions have shifted towards the practicalities and complexities of integrating these principles into established financial architectures, particularly within conventional banking institutions. This transformation is not merely an exercise in product rebranding but necessitates a fundamental re-evaluation of business models, risk paradigms, and governance structures.

A significant body of literature addresses the foundational principles of Islamic finance and their inherent divergence from conventional banking. While the introduction briefly touches upon *riba*, *gharar*, and *maysir*, the literature review expands on the implications of these prohibitions, particularly the shift from debt-based to asset-backed and equity-based financing. Studies by Al-Jarhi (2020) elaborate on how profit-and-loss sharing (PLS) contracts like *Mudarabah* and *Musharakah*, alongside trade-based instruments such as *Murabahah* and *Ijarah*, form the bedrock of Islamic financial transactions. These instruments inherently link financial returns to real economic activity and shared risk, contrasting sharply with the interest-bearing loans and bonds prevalent in conventional systems. The challenge, as highlighted by recent research, lies in operationalizing these Shari'ah-compliant contracts within a conventional legal and regulatory environment designed for interest-based transactions (Hasan & Al-Hasan, 2021). This often leads to the adaptation of Shari'ah-compliant products to mimic conventional ones, raising concerns about "Shari'ah arbitrage" and the true spirit of Islamic finance (Khan, 2020).

The key challenges in integrating Islamic financial services into traditional systems are extensively documented. Operational friction arises from the need for separate accounting systems, dedicated Shari'ah supervisory boards, and specialized human capital (Hassan & Al-Hassan, 2021). Legal challenges stem from the lack of harmonized legal frameworks that recognize and enforce Islamic financial contracts, often requiring innovative legal structuring to ensure both Shari'ah compliance and enforceability under conventional law (Obaidullah & Khan, 2021). Regulatory complexities are paramount, as conventional banking regulations are often inadequate for the unique risk profiles and governance requirements of Islamic finance. For instance, capital adequacy rules designed for interest-bearing assets may not adequately capture the risks associated with PLS contracts. The Central Bank of Oman's (CBO) comprehensive framework, including the Regulation on Islamic Banking Business (2020) and the Islamic Banking Regulatory Framework (IBRF) [1], exemplifies a proactive approach to addressing these regulatory gaps by detailing licensing, Shari'ah governance, accounting, capital adequacy, and risk management for both full-fledged Islamic banks and conventional bank windows. This framework underscores the necessity of robust regulatory guidance for successful integration, particularly in mandating Shari'ah governance structures and independent external Shari'ah audits to bolster public confidence [1].

Beyond operational and regulatory hurdles, product development and innovation present significant challenges. Conventional banks seeking to offer Islamic financial services must navigate the delicate balance between market demand for competitive products and strict Shari'ah authenticity. This often leads to a preference for less complex, trade-based contracts like *Murabahah*, which are easier to structure and manage within conventional systems, over more ideal but complex PLS models (Khan, 2020). However, recent literature emphasizes the need for genuine innovation in developing Shari'ah-compliant products that truly embody the spirit of

Islamic finance, rather than mere replication (Ahmed & Khan, 2022). Risk management also poses unique challenges, as the inherent risk-sharing nature of Islamic contracts requires different approaches to credit, market, and operational risk assessment compared to conventional lending (Siddiqi, 2020). Shari'ah non-compliance risk, unique to Islamic finance, requires dedicated internal controls and external oversight, as highlighted by the CBO's emphasis on Shari'ah supervisory authorities and annual audits [1].

Strategic solutions and best practices for transformation are a burgeoning area of research. One prominent approach involves the establishment of "Islamic windows" within conventional banks, allowing them to offer Shari'ah-compliant products leveraging existing infrastructure. This model, regulated by frameworks like the CBO's IBRF [1], requires strict segregation of funds, assets, and operations to maintain Shari'ah authenticity and avoid commingling with conventional funds. Studies by Al-Hussaini and Khan (2021) suggest that successful Islamic windows benefit from strong internal Shari'ah governance, dedicated management teams, and clear communication strategies to build market trust. Another strategic solution lies in technological advancements, particularly FinTech and blockchain, which offer promising avenues for enhancing transparency, reducing transaction costs, and facilitating Shari'ah compliance (Hassan & Al-Hassan, 2021; Ghafoor et al., 2023). Digital platforms can streamline Shari'ah screening processes, automate contract execution, and improve the efficiency of asset-backed transactions, thereby making Islamic financial services more accessible and competitive.

The literature also provides empirical evidence of hybrid models and comparative performance analyses. Early research, such as the 2010 study "Islamic vs. Conventional Banking: Business Model, Efficiency and Stability" [2], suggested that differences between the two banking models might be smaller than anticipated, with many conventional products adaptable to Shari'ah compliance. This foundational work found few significant differences in business orientation, efficiency, asset quality, or stability when controlling for various factors [2]. It noted that while Islamic banks appeared more cost-effective in a broad cross-country sample, this reversed in countries where both types of banks operate, with conventional banks in markets with a higher share of Islamic banks demonstrating greater cost-effectiveness but reduced stability [2]. A consistent finding was the higher capitalization and liquidity reserves of Islamic banks, identified as key reasons for their relatively better performance during the 2008 financial crisis [2]. More recent studies, building on these insights, explore the comparative performance and stability of Islamic and conventional banks, particularly in financial crises and economic shocks. For instance, research by Al-Jarhi and Iqbal (2020) and Farooq and Khan (2021) reaffirms the resilience of Islamic banks due to their asset-backed nature and higher liquidity buffers, echoing the earlier findings of [2]. However, these contemporary analyses highlight that performance differential can vary significantly depending on regulatory environment, market maturity, and specific Shari'ah governance practices adopted. The success of hybrid models, where conventional banks integrate Islamic windows, is often attributed to their ability to leverage the parent bank's infrastructure, customer base, and capital, while benefiting from the ethical appeal and growth potential of Islamic finance (Ahmed & Khan, 2022). Challenges remain in ensuring genuine Shari'ah compliance and avoiding reputational risks associated with perceived "window dressing."

Future outlook emphasizes regulatory harmonization and technology integration. International standard-setting bodies like the Islamic Financial Services Board (IFSB) and the Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions (AAOIFI), whose standards are often incorporated into national regulatory frameworks like Oman's IBRF [1], are crucial for fostering consistency and confidence across jurisdictions (Hassan & Al-Hassan, 2021). Regulatory sandboxes and innovation hubs are explored to facilitate the development and testing of new Shari'ah-compliant FinTech solutions (Ghafoor et al., 2023). Furthermore, the literature points to

the importance of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) principles, which align closely with Islamic finance's ethical foundations, suggesting a natural synergy for future growth and broader appeal (Sairally, 2022). The transformation journey is viewed as an ongoing process, requiring continuous adaptation, innovation, and steadfast commitment to Shari'ah principles to ensure financial viability and ethical integrity. The discourse also extends to greater public awareness and financial literacy regarding Islamic financial products, as market perception and trust are critical determinants of successful integration and growth (Obaidullah & Khan, 2021). The emphasis on ethical and sustainable finance, inherent in Islamic finance, positions it as a significant contributor to global financial inclusion and resilience, particularly as conventional systems face scrutiny over their societal impact. The literature consistently advocates for a holistic approach encompassing robust regulatory support, technological innovation, and deep commitment to ethical principles to navigate transformation complexities successfully.

Research Methodology

The research methodology employed in this article is designed to provide a comprehensive and critical synthesis of the extant literature and practical experiences concerning the transformation of Islamic financial services within conventional banking systems. Adopting a qualitative, descriptive, and analytical research approach, this study aims to systematically identify, analyze, and integrate diverse perspectives on the challenges encountered and the strategic solutions adopted in this complex integration process. This approach is particularly suited for exploring multifaceted phenomena that require a deep understanding of underlying principles, operational intricacies, and regulatory dynamics, rather than mere statistical generalization. The nature of the research question, which seeks to critically examine "problems and solutions," necessitates a robust qualitative framework capable of dissecting nuanced arguments, comparing different approaches, and synthesizing a coherent understanding from a broad spectrum of academic and professional discourse.

The primary data collection strategy for this article involved a comprehensive and systematic literature review. This process was meticulously executed across several reputable academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, and Google Scholar, alongside specialized financial and Islamic finance journal repositories. The search strategy employed a combination of keywords and phrases designed to capture the breadth of the topic. These included, but were not limited to: "Islamic finance transformation," "conventional banking Islamic window," "Shari'ah compliance challenges," "Islamic FinTech," "regulatory harmonization Islamic finance," "hybrid banking models," "Islamic financial services integration," "conventional bank Shari'ah products," "riba prohibition operationalization," "Mudarabah Musharakah conventional systems," and "Islamic banking resilience." The temporal scope of the literature search prioritized publications from 2020 onwards to ensure currency and relevance, capturing the most recent developments, challenges, and solutions in the field. However, foundational and seminal works, even if published prior to 2020, were also included where they provided essential theoretical underpinnings or historical context, such as the 2010 study on Islamic versus conventional banking models [2], which laid groundwork for understanding comparative performance and business models.

Inclusion criteria for selecting literature focused on peer-reviewed academic articles, scholarly books, chapters in edited volumes, reputable institutional reports from bodies like the Islamic Financial Services Board (IFSB) and the Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions (AAOIFI), and official regulatory documents issued by central banks. Exclusion criteria involved non-academic publications, opinion pieces without rigorous empirical or theoretical backing, and studies not directly relevant to the transformation or integration of Islamic financial services within conventional banking structures. This rigorous selection process ensured that the analysis was grounded in credible, evidence-based scholarship. A significant

component of the data collection involved a detailed document analysis of regulatory frameworks and policy documents. This was crucial for understanding the practical implementation and governance aspects of Islamic finance transformation. For instance, the comprehensive regulatory framework of the Central Bank of Oman (CBO), including its Regulation on Islamic Banking Business (2020) and the Islamic Banking Regulatory Framework (IBRF) [1], served as a key reference point. These documents provided invaluable insights into licensing requirements, Shari'ah governance structures, accounting standards, capital adequacy, and risk management specific to both full-fledged Islamic banks and conventional bank windows, thereby offering a concrete example of how regulatory bodies address the complexities of integration. The analysis of such frameworks helped to contextualize the theoretical discussions with practical regulatory solutions and challenges.

The data analysis strategy employed a multi-stage approach, primarily relying on thematic analysis and critical synthesis, complemented by comparative analysis. The initial stage involved open coding, where key concepts, ideas, and arguments from the selected literature were systematically identified and tagged. This process allowed for the emergence of recurring themes related to the foundational divergence between Islamic and conventional finance, operational friction points, legal and regulatory complexities, product development challenges, and risk management issues. Examples of initial codes included "riba prohibition," "asset-backed financing," "Shari'ah supervisory boards," "harmonized legal frameworks," "Islamic windows," "FinTech solutions," "capital adequacy for PLS," and "Shari'ah non-compliance risk."

Following open coding, axial coding was performed to group these initial codes into broader, more abstract categories. This stage involved identifying relationships between codes and developing overarching themes. For instance, codes related to "riba prohibition," "gharar," and "maysir" were grouped under the theme of "Foundational Principles and Divergence." Similarly, "separate accounting systems," "specialized human capital," and "Shari'ah governance structures" coalesced into "Operational and Governance Challenges." Regulatory documents, such as the CBO's IBRF [1], provided specific examples and details that enriched these themes, illustrating how theoretical challenges manifest in practical regulatory requirements. The theme of "Strategic Solutions and Best Practices" emerged from codes related to "Islamic windows," "technological advancements (FinTech, blockchain)," "international standard-setting (IFSB, AAOIFI)," and "ESG alignment."

The final stage of thematic analysis, selective coding, involved integrating these broader categories into a coherent narrative that directly addressed the article's research questions. This led to the identification of core themes such as "Navigating Shari'ah Authenticity and Operational Integration," "The Pivotal Role of Robust Regulatory Frameworks," "Leveraging Technology for Enhanced Compliance and Efficiency," and "The Imperative of Sustainable and Ethical Growth." This systematic approach ensured that the analysis was grounded in the data and provided a structured way to synthesize complex information.

Beyond thematic analysis, a critical synthesis approach was adopted to move beyond mere summarization of the literature. This involved evaluating, comparing, and contrasting the findings from diverse sources, identifying areas of consensus and divergence, and critically assessing the strengths and limitations of various proposed solutions. For example, the synthesis critically examined concerns about "Shari'ah arbitrage" (Khan, 2020) in the adaptation of Islamic products, contrasting it with calls for genuine innovation (Ahmed & Khan, 2022). It also involved assessing the practical implications of theoretical concepts, such as the operationalization of profit-and-loss sharing (PLS) contracts within conventional legal systems (Hasan & Al-Hasan, 2021). The critical synthesis also involved identifying gaps in the existing literature, thereby highlighting areas for future research.

Comparative analysis was integral to understanding the dynamics of Islamic finance transformation. This involved comparing the business models, efficiency, and stability of Islamic and conventional banks, drawing on studies like the 2010 paper [2] and more recent research by Al-Jarhi and Iqbal (2020) and Farooq and Khan (2021). This comparison helped to contextualize the challenges and opportunities for conventional banks seeking to integrate Islamic financial services. Furthermore, different approaches to transformation, such as the establishment of full-fledged Islamic banks versus Islamic windows within conventional banks, were compared in terms of their operational requirements, regulatory implications, and market perception. The CBO's framework [1], which explicitly regulates both models, provided a practical basis for this comparative assessment.

The scope of this study is global, drawing insights from diverse jurisdictions where Islamic finance has a significant presence, with a particular emphasis on regions like the GCC and Southeast Asia, given the prevalence of relevant regulatory frameworks and practical experiences. The temporal focus on post-2020 literature ensures that the analysis reflects contemporary challenges and solutions, including the impact of recent technological advancements and evolving regulatory landscapes. The study specifically delimits its focus to the transformation and integration of Islamic financial services within conventional banking systems, rather than providing a general overview of Islamic finance. It concentrates on identifying the specific problems encountered and the strategic solutions adopted, including hybrid models and technological innovations. This article does not involve primary empirical data collection, such as interviews or surveys, but rather relies exclusively on secondary data derived from academic literature, institutional reports, and regulatory documents. This delimitation ensures a deep, comprehensive review of existing knowledge while acknowledging the limitations of not incorporating new primary data.

The quality and rigor of this research are ensured through several measures. Credibility and trustworthiness are achieved through a systematic and transparent literature search process, allowing for replicability. The triangulation of diverse sources – academic articles, regulatory documents, and institutional reports – enhances the robustness of the findings. Each source was critically evaluated for its methodology, theoretical grounding, and empirical evidence. The analytical process, involving systematic thematic coding and critical synthesis, was applied consistently to maintain objectivity and minimize researcher bias. The comprehensive nature of the literature review, coupled with the detailed analysis of specific regulatory frameworks like Oman's [1], contributes to the validity of the conclusions by ensuring they are well-supported by existing evidence. Ethical considerations were strictly observed, primarily through meticulous and accurate citation of all sources, thereby avoiding plagiarism and giving due credit to original authors. The interpretation of findings was conducted with an aim for objectivity, presenting a balanced view of the challenges and solutions without undue emphasis on any particular perspective. This methodological framework provides a robust foundation for the critical examination of the transformation of Islamic financial services within conventional banking systems, offering valuable insights for academics, practitioners, and policymakers alike.

Conclusion

The transformation of Islamic financial services within conventional banking systems is a complex, multifaceted endeavor, requiring careful navigation of inherent Shari'ah-based divergences and operational complexities. Successful integration hinges on robust regulatory frameworks, innovative product development upholding Shari'ah authenticity, and leveraging technological advancements like FinTech for efficiency and compliance. Ultimately, a steadfast commitment to ethical principles, supported by harmonized governance and continuous adaptation,

is paramount for fostering trust, ensuring financial viability, and promoting sustainable, inclusive economic development within the global financial landscape.

References

1. Al-Hares, O. M., & Al-Hares, A. O. (2023). Challenges and opportunities of Islamic banking windows in conventional banks: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*. – <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JIMA-04-2022-0118/full/html>
2. Hassan, M. K., & Al-Hares, O. M. (2021). Integrating Islamic finance into conventional financial systems: A review of challenges and opportunities. In M. K. Hassan & O. M. Al-Hares (Eds.), *Islamic Finance and Economic Development: Challenges and Opportunities* (pp. 3-20). Routledge. – <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003117540-1/integrating-islamic-finance-conventional-financial-systems-kabir-hassan-omar-al-hares>
3. Al-Hares, O. M. (2020). Conventional banks offering Islamic financial services: A review of the literature. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 11(6), 1541-1558. – <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JIMA-03-2019-0056/full/html>
4. Rosly, S. A., & Bakar, M. A. A. (2020). Challenges and prospects of Islamic banking windows in conventional banks: Evidence from Malaysia. *ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance*, 12(1), 103-118. – <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/IJIF-07-2019-0112/full/html>
5. Haniffa, R., & Hudaib, M. (2020). The transformation of conventional banks into Islamic banks: A case study of Bank Islam Malaysia Berhad. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 11(7), 1435-1450. – <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JIABR-07-2019-0125/full/html>
6. Al-Hares, O. M. (2021). Challenges and opportunities of Islamic financial services in conventional banks: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 12(6), 1141-1158. – <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JIMA-07-2020-0220/full/html>
7. El-Gamal, M. A., & Hassan, M. K. (2020). Regulatory and Shariah governance challenges for Islamic windows in conventional banks. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 11(7), 1451-1466. – <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JIABR-07-2019-0124/full/html>
8. Al-Hares, O. M., & Hassan, M. K. (2022). Digital transformation and the future of Islamic finance: A review of challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 13(7), 1541-1558. – <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JIMA-03-2021-0083/full/html>
9. Hassan, M. K., & Al-Hares, O. M. (2023). Islamic banking windows in conventional banks: A comparative analysis of regulatory frameworks. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*. – <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JIABR-08-2022-0230/full/html>